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Abstract

In 1819 the French Corvette L’Uranie anchored off Apra Harbor on Guam where its captain Louis Claude de Freycinet was told of the former presence of stone fish weirs, no longer in use. Archaeological surveys of Apra Harbor tidal flats identified several low-walled coral enclosures at the mouth of two freshwater estuaries. Controlled excavation of small sites adjacent to one of these complexes yielded late Latte Period pottery and wood charcoal radiocarbon dated with a Bayesian calibration to A.D. 1645-1725. Besides Chamorro fishermen using the weirs to feed local populations, it is plausible they were expanded to feed visiting sailors during the seasonal arrival of the Manila Galleons. More challenging to former notions of Colonial domination is archaeological evidence documenting the continuity of communal aquaculture practices well after sustained Contact. The apparent resistance of Chamorro fishermen to La Reducción circa 1700 is provocative, especially after the introduction of Eurocentric food ways and enforced settlement patterns.
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Introduction

In 1998, an archaeological survey for the U.S. Navy of Outer Apra Harbor tidal flats on the Micronesian island of Guam (Fig. 1) identified several low-walled coral enclosures at the mouth of a freshwater estuary (Dixon et al. 2013), first recorded in 1991 (Wylie and Madsen 1991). Piles of WWII-era bottles of Japanese manufacture and discarded bivalve shells were also found on the surface at these shoreline sites, suggesting that the walls themselves may have been constructed to foster shellfish production by forced native labor known to have been used to expand
wartime rice fields nearby. However, interviews with a local Chamorro resident who abandoned the area with his family in 1941 yielded no memory of the walls in Apra Harbor during their periodic harvesting of shellfish before the war¹.

Fig. 1: Location of Gigao on Guam. (Modified from Dixon, et al. 2017:196)

Another archaeological survey of Inner Apra Harbor tidal flats in 2002 identified more low-walled coral enclosures at the mouth of two freshwater estuaries, many overgrown by mangroves or half-buried by silt, thus bringing archival documents in the Micronesian Area Research Center (MARC) at the University of Guam (UOG) under new scrutiny. A rereading of Captain Louis Claude de Freycinet’s account of his 1819 visit to Apra
Harbor aboard the French Corvette *L’Uranie* suggested these sites might be the stone fish weirs or *gigao* mentioned by Chamorro residents. On March 17, 1819, the French corvette *L’Uranie* anchored off Apra Harbor in Guam on a three year scientific mission to the Pacific which eventually circumnavigated the globe (Freycinet 2003), finishing the voyage in the vessel *Physicienne* (Arago 2013). While surveying the island and its inhabitants’ customs, Captain Louis de Freycinet was told of the former presence of stone fish weirs no longer in use. Most archaeologists and historians assumed that Freycinet was misinformed or such features had not survived the frequent typhoons that affect the region, as well as the ravages of WWII and subsequent U.S. Navy harbor construction.

WWII therefore seemed the most logical date of origin for these walls (Dixon et al. 2013), until scholars of Japanese history on Guam who were consulted found no record of non-military construction projects along the shores of Apra Harbor during the 1941-1944 occupation. A more thorough examination of archaeological and historical literature from across Micronesia housed at the Micronesian Area Research Center then revealed close parallels between construction methods and environmental settings in Apra Harbor and traditional stone fish weirs recorded in coastal Yap, Pohnpei, and Kosrae. Marine science literature housed at the Marine Science Laboratory of UOG also confirmed the former use of fenced fish weirs by Chamorro residents near Apra Harbor from before WWII until the 1970s when such methods were outlawed.

Freycinet’s informants therefore appear to be vindicated by the convergence of archaeological, historical, and circumstantial evidence. So besides Pre-Contact fishermen using the weirs to feed local populations before the arrival of Ferdinand Magellan in 1521, it is hypothesized they were expanded to exchange fish and shellfish for metal
and trade goods with visiting sailors during the seasonal arrival of the Manila Galleons, perhaps until well after the arrival of Jesuit missionaries in 1668. Traditional sailing techniques, ancestor veneration, ritual cohabitation, matrilineal land tenure, herbal healing specialists, and communal redistribution of natural resources were by then all discouraged in favor of Spanish Colonial norms of behavior and economy. In contrast, the gigao might have been maintained until after the forced relocation of northern Guam residents into traditional southern Guam villages like Sumay during La Reduccion circa 1700 and even the subsequent development of Apra Harbor to accommodate periodic Spanish shipping before Freycinet's arrival.

More challenging to former notions of Colonial domination is archaeological evidence for continued traditional aquaculture methods and pottery production radiocarbon dated generations after the Spanish entraada, generally perceived as the end of the traditional era (Dixon et al. 2017). Documenting the continuity of subsistence practices from before until well after initial Contact and the apparent resistance of Chamorro fishermen to La Reduccion is provocative, especially after the introduction of Eurocentric food ways and settlement patterns.

**Archaeological Identification of Fish Weirs around Apra Harbor**

During the first survey in the mangroves along the edge of Outer Apra Harbor in 1998 (Dixon et al. 2013), a complex of 14 previously unrecorded low-walled coral enclosures and nearby sites was encountered on the coral shelf and within the low tide area (Fig. 2), near fresh water seeps situated between the mouths of two small estuaries. At irregular intervals along the shoreline opposite this wall system were scatters of late Latte Period style prehistoric ceramics and marine bivalve shells (Fig. 3), mostly of Anadara sp. with fewer Gaftrarium sp. Site 1 on-shore appeared to be the battered remains
of a low latte set or raised Latte Period habitation with midden, Site 4 was a coconut hummock located about 50 m inland with shell midden and more pottery, and Sites 2, 3, and 5 contained the stone enclosures off shore. Site 15 was a natural limestone hill with WWII Japanese defenses dug into the hillside. A subsequent survey of a segment of the Inner Apra Harbor shoreline in 2002 (Dixon et al. 2013) revealed another complex of seven walled constructions on the coral shelf near the mouth of another small estuary.

Fig. 2: Outer Apra Harbor Fish Weir Map. (Dixon, et al. 2013:360)
The walled enclosures of Outer Apra Harbor were composed of irregularly shaped, medium to large coral heads (Fig. 4), removed from inside the enclosures and piled directly on the tidally inundated coral substrate without the aid of mortar or fill. While some stacking was evident, the walls were very porous and today attract mangrove growth due to their stability and entrapment of sediment from the small estuaries flowing into the features. The outer walls of the roughly rectangular shaped enclosures measured approximately 3 m wide and 90 cm tall, accounting for some collapse after years of abandonment and typhoon damage, while some of the inner walls were less substantial. Many of the walls did not reach the modern shoreline during high tide, and much of their interiors were exposed during low tide. Controlled excavation adjacent to one of these complexes at Site 2 (Fig. 5) yielded late Latte Period pottery and wood.
charcoal radiocarbon dated with a Bayesian calibration to A.D. 1645-1725 (Fig. 6).

Fig. 5: Outer Apra Harbor Site 2, TU. 2, East Profile. (Dixon, et al. 2013:363)
Regional Context of Fish Weirs on Guam within Micronesia

A regionally appropriate comparison with the Apra Harbor walled enclosures in design and construction may be made with other Micronesian islands that have undergone less impact from modern development (Moore 1987), and hence maintained traditional prehistoric fish weirs into modern times. Low islands as far away as the Gilberts and Nauru farmed juvenile milkfish in artificial interior ponds for later harvest (Alkire 1972). On Kosrae, stone holding pens for turtles and fish were built along the mangrove-lined coastline near chiefly residential complexes indicating their ownership by specific high-ranking families over time (Cordy 1981). On Pohnpei, basalt stone weirs were constructed near shore to trap fish driven into the mangroves by high tides of the tradewind season from December to February. Weirs of coral were longer and more
irregular in shape, constructed closer to the deeper water lagoon to enable their incorporation in group fish drives to generate chiefly tribute (Ayers et al. 1979).

The Micronesian island group best known for their fish weir diversity is Yap, where several varieties of offshore construction provide the best comparison for interpreting the function of the Apra Harbor enclosures. The arrow trap may be the oldest technique employed on Yap, where a V-shaped enclosure with a central shaft is built facing away from the shore toward the direction of the outgoing tide and the reef, although some were built facing deeper channels and holes within the lagoon (Hunter-Anderson 1981). Baskets were placed at the ends and apex of these weirs to trap fish attempting to escape. Another type of multiple V-shaped trap was built just inside the reef to catch fish running both in and out with the tide, while other Z-shapes traps were built on the crest of the reef pointing in both directions for a similar purpose. Rock and coral piles were also constructed in relatively clear areas of the lagoon to provide refuge for certain fish before they were speared or netted, although the yield of such features was lower than the V-shaped constructions. Closer to shore and along main channels were rectangular surrounds which reportedly functioned as corrals or holding pens for fish captured in deeper waters, as well as foundations for guardhouses associated with the more productive V-shaped arrow traps owned by chiefly families.

**Conclusions**

After comparison of the walled enclosures within Apra Harbor on Guam to their regional counterparts in Micronesia, it appears that the majority of features recorded archaeologically likely functioned as holding pens or corrals for high tide fish collection in deeper waters and as traps for fish stranded as the tide receded. The partial arrow-shaped wall extending seaward from the west end of Site 5 in the Outer Apra complex suggests
its function in trapping fish returning to the reef at low tide as seen in Yapese constructions. Its spatial proximity to a set of rectangular walls in Site 3 that do not reach the shore suggests a similar function. Given the holding capacity of these pens, it is reasonable to expect that deeper water features similar to those on Yap also existed in mid-harbor (i.e. rock or coral piles) or nearer the reef (i.e. V- or Z-shaped traps).

It is possible that 19th century Spanish Colonial sailors may have found some aquaculture features at shallower depths within Outer Apra Harbor to be an obstacle to safe entry and mooring, although many vessels remained in deeper anchorage or at Umatac. The organization of Chamorro labor by local alcaldes to clear such impediments as payment of labor tax in the late 17th and early 18th century would have been challenging however, given native participation in numerous revolts against Spanish demands (Lon Bulgrin, personal communication 2017). Almost yearly visits by the Manila-bound situado ship from Acapulco by the 1580s and at least 24 Dutch and English visits by 1686 also noted the increasingly poor health of many inhabitants (Levesque 1997). Instead, if these deeper aquaculture features indeed existed at mid-harbor then many may have been dismantled to build Fort Santa Cruz in 1801 (Driver and Brunal-Perry 1994), a generation or more after their use had been discontinued by native fishermen when Freycinet arrived. The shoreline pens nevertheless, could still have been useful settings for family fishing even with declining population and Colonial entanglement, until mangrove growth reclaimed them in the 20th century.

Forms of indigenous resistance in the face of Spanish Colonial influences were varied in the 16th through 18th century Pacific, but included the maintenance and transformation of native food ways in coastal California missions: “European-introduced foods… were prepared and cooked… according to traditional native prescriptions…” (Lightfoot 2005:196).
Reuse of European objects was another method of resisting their intended uses: “instead of using the objects in the European fashion as ceramic and glass tableware, the people transformed them into native objects such as pendants, beads, scrapers, and projectile points” (Lightfoot 2005:196). Traditional political structures and marriage patterns did change as native populations suffered catastrophic mortality rates from introduced disease, but religious dances continued and Catholic symbols and rituals were incorporated into native belief systems (Lightfoot 2005).

Similarly, one might expect Chamorro use of the near-coastal fish holding pens in Apra Harbor to continue for a generation or more after La Reduccion circa 1700, albeit in smaller scale family collection endeavors not inviting Colonial taxation. The continued use of specific coastal settings for aquaculture in the Mariana Islands thus emphasizes the longevity of cultural memory as encoded in marine resource exploitation practices, even in the face of sustained Colonial enculturation. Cultural revitalization movements as expressed in the maintenance of traditional fishing practices remain active in the region today in the face of new challenges, as they appear to have been after the initial shock of Spanish contact in the Mariana Islands. Further archaeological evidence remains to be sought in Guam’s other embayments, as well as off the west coast of Saipan that represents a much larger lagoon system of equal antiquity.

\[1\] This manuscript in no way reflects the opinions of the U.S. Navy or its contractors. Permanent site locations are not revealed here for their protection.
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